



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPI	ICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
(9/768,442	01/24/2001	Chun-Ying Huang	U 012951-1	2379	
	7	7590 04/12/2002				
	Ladas & Parr	-		EXAMINER		
	26 West 61st Street New York, NY 10023			GOLDBERG,	GOLDBERG, JEROME D	
	;			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1614	1614	
				DATE MAILED: 04/12/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		HUANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	09/768,442	Art Unit					
Omoc Action Cammary	Examiner Loromo D. Coldhord	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jerome D Goldberg ars on the cover she t with the						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u>	ebruary 2002 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
Application Papers	oloodon roquiroment.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
_	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/768,442

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 5-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

Applicants' remarks are noted but the other invention drawn to an enhanced combination of Group I compound and another anticancer agent would support a separate patent and could be classified many places in class 514. Accordingly, the restriction requirement is deemed proper and made **Final**.

The Masiero et al reference is cited to complete the record.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,442

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the D'Amato '327 patent of record.

The D'Amato patent teaches applicants' compound thalidomide in a pharmaceutical composition for oral administration (see col. 13). The patent teaches that the thalidomide is administered at 0.5 to 50 mg/kg/day. (col. 13, lines 14-15). The average human is about 70 kg. Therefore, the range per day would be 35 to 3500 mg/day. This would be within the claimed range of 30 to 1200 mg (claim 2) and 50 to 800 mg (claim 3).

The patent does not specifically set forth an example of a pharmaceutical composition.

Accordingly, one skilled in this art would find ample motivation from the prior art supra to form a pharmaceutical in the claimed range of the instant application with a reasonable expectation that said pharmaceutical composition would be effective as a pharmaceutical. With regard to the intended use limitation, said intended use limitation does not render the composition unobvious. See In re Skoner, 186 USPQ 80 (CCPA 1975). In re Kalm, 154 USPQ 10 (CCPA 1967), In re Halley, 132 USPQ 16 (CCPA 1961) which are directly in point.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 4 are improperly drawn to the same pharmaceutical composition.

Correction is required.



Application/Control Number: 09/768,442

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-4606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday 9 am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-4556 for regular communications and (703) 3053592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Goldberg:mv April 11, 2002 JERÓME D. GOLDBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER